UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JACK C. CHILINGIRIAN,

05-10005 RCL

vs.

DAVID L. WINN, Warden Federal Medical Center, Devens

Petitioner.

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. MEXONAL RECEIPT#

AMOUNT S

SUMMONS ISSUED_

LOCAL RULE 4.1.
WAIVER FORM....

MCF ISSUED_

BY DPTY. CLK.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS COPRUS PURSUANT TO 28 USC \$ 2241 & \$2243

NOW COMES the above named Petitioner, JACK C. CHILINGIRIAN, pro se and hereby files the above recited Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 USC §2241 and in support states as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The Petitioner, JACK C. CHILINGIRIAN is a federal prisoner presently incarcerated at the Federal Medical Center, camp Devens in Ayer, massachusetts, whose statutory and due process rights are being violated by the Bureau of Prisons' misinterpretation of 18 USC §3624(b), which deprives him of eligibility for 54 (fifty-four) days of good time credit for each year of his term of imprisonment to which he is statutorily entitled.

JURISDICTION

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 USC §2241 because the Petitioner's term in federal custody is being determined in violation of the Constitution and the laws of the United States.

- 3. The Petitioner invokes the jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to 28 USC §1331 in that the above recited action arises out of the Constitution and the laws of the United States and seeks correction action by officers and employees of the United States in their official capacity.
- 4. The Petitioner also invokes the jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to 28 USC \$1343(4) in that the Petitioner seeks to redress deprivation of rights guaranteed by both the Constitution and federal statutes.

PARTES

- 5. The Petitioner is presently confined at the Federal Medical Center, Camp Devens in Ayer, Massachusetts pursuant to a Judgment of Conviction entered in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division.
- 6. The Respondent is the warden of the Federal Medical Center, Camp
 Devens and, through the Bureau of Prisons, an agency of the United States
 Department of Justice, is responsible for the Petitioner's care and custody
 and the calculation of his term of confinement.

VENUE

7. The venue of this action is appropriate in that the Petitioner is housed in a federal facility which is within the geographical boundaries of the District of Massachusetts.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 8. On October 18, 1999, the Hon. John Corbett O'Meara, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division sentenced the Petitioner to a term of imprisonment of 37 months upon his conviction for Cosnpiracy to Launder Money Instruments, in United States v. Chilingirian Case No. 96-80670.
- 9. Subsequently, after remand from the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, the Petitioner's sentence was increased to 87 months imprisonment.
- 10. Mr. Chilingirian has been in custody in connection with this offense since January 17, 2000.
- 11. 18 USC §3624(b) provides that "a prisoner who is serving a term of imprisonment of more than 1 year...may receive credit toward the service of the prisoner's sentence...of up to 54 days at the end of each year of the prisoners term of imprisonment."
- 12. Under Bureau of Prison's (BOP) policy, the maximum allowable good time credit that can be awarded to a prisoner sentenced to 87 months is approximately 341 days because the BOP bases its calculations on the actual time in prison rather than the sentence imposed as set out in Program Statement 5880.28 (June 20, 1997).
- Program Statement 5880.28 to reflect a potential award of 341 days of good time credit, and projected his release date as MAY 9, 2006, excluding any award of half-way house.

14. Were Mr. Chilingirian to be granted relief he would be entitled to earn 391 days of good time credit as provided for in 18 USC §3624(b), rather than the 341 days provided for under BOP policy.

STATEMENT OF LAW

- 15. Mr. Chilingirian having been sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 87 months, is entitled under 18 USC §3624(b) to earn days of good time credit.
- of good time credit for each year of his term of imprisonment because the plain language of the statute provides that "a prisoner who is serving a term of imprisonment of more than 1 year...may receive credit toward the service of the prisoner's sentence...of up to 54 days at the end of each year of the prisoners term of imprisonment." 18 USC §3624(b).
- 17. The BOP's interpretation is contrary to the unambiguous intent of Congress that prisoner's are eligible to earn 54 days for each year of the term of imprisonment. Cevron U.S.A. v. Natural Resources

 Defense Council, 467 US 837, 842-43 (1984). United States v. LaBonte,

 520 US 751, 762 fn. 6 (1997).
- 18. Even if the statute were ambiguous, the BOP's interpretation is not entitled to deference because the rule of lenity must be used in construing an ambiguous penal statute. **Bifulco v. United States**, 447 US 381, 387 (1980).
- 19. The conduct of the respondent and his agents in promulgating a policy denying Mr. Chilingirian eligibility to earn the statutory

maximum credit of 391 days—and barring good time of no more than

341 days deprives him of rights conferred by 18 USC \$3624(b), as well
as the Equal Protection and Due Process Clauses of the United States

Constitution.

- 20. That Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies is not a bar to consideration on the merits of Mr. Chilingirian's claims because he is challenging the duration and not the conditions of his confinement, and therefore exhaustion of administrative remedies is not jurisdictional.
- 21. Further, because Mr. Chilingirian challenges the validity of the BOP's interpretation of §3624(b), exhaustion of administrative remedies is futile.
- 22. Finally, in support of the allegations of futility, the Petitioner avers that the Respondent Warden has previously denied Administrative Remedy Requests, followed be denials at the Regional and National Levels of the BOP, by inmates housed at Camp Devens, who have sought similar relief requested by the Petitioner herein.
- 23. Without conceding futility, the Petitioner has commenced his individual administrative remedy request and anticipates a denial of the good time credits being calculated in his favor and therefore asks this Court to forego the requirement to complete that process prior to the commencement of this action and prior to a determination on the merits of the claims asserted in the instant Petition.

24. Based upon the above authority, Petitioner respectfully requests that the District Court Judge follow the plain language, spirit, and dictates of the Constitution, and properly determine the merits of the instant Petition for Habeas relief, as articulated by the clear language of 28 USC §2241 and 28 USC §2243.

SERVICE OF PROCESS

- 25. Petitioner, JACK C. CHILINGIRIAN, respectfully requests the Court to enter an Order allowing the Petitioner to be permitted to use a third party to serve this Petition upon the Respondent Warden, David L. Winn, the U.S. Attorney for the District of Massachusetts located in Boston, Massachusetts, and upon the Office of the Attorney general of the United States in Washington, D.C., by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, upon each party as allowed for by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.
- 26. That the use of the U.S. Marshalls Service to effect service of process will unduly delay the proceedings, and will be costly to the Petitioner.
- 27. The Certified Mailings as required by FRCP 4 can be accomplished by the Petitioner and can be verified by the submission and filing of the fully executed green cards with the Court.
- 28. That no prejudice will result if this procedure is utilized and permitted by the Court so that immediate disposition can be made of this request for habeas relief.

CONCLUSION

The Petitioner has presented a viable §2241 Petition based on a valid interpretation of the statute dealing with "good time credits" as well as protected by the U.S. Constitution.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Wherefore, Petitioner, JACK C. CHILINGIRIAN prays for the relief sought in this Petition brought pursuant to 28 USC §2241 and §2243, to wit: the granting of a Writ of Habeas Corpus directing that the Respondent Warde, DAVID L. WINN be required to re-calculate his good time credits and that he be awarded 391 days of good time credits as opposed to 341 days presently awarded, further that this Court grant an immediate hearing on this request for a Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to §2243, that he be permitted to respond to any submission filed by the Respondent, and that the Court grant any other further relief which it may determine to be necessary and proper under the circumstances.

DATED: DECEMBER 29, 2004

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

JACK C. CHILINGIRIAN, Pro Se

22512-039 UNIT I (CAMP)

FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTER, DEVENS

P.O. BOX 879 AYER, MA. 01432

ETITIONER, PRO

VERIFICATION

I, JACK C. CHILINGIRIAN, do aver that all of the facts and circumstances as stated by me herein, are based upon information and belief and are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

DATED: DECEMBER 29, 2004

TITLE C	CK C. C	AME OF FIRST PART)	DAVID L	. WI	NN, War	den F	ede	cal Me	dical-	Genter Dev	ens
CATEG	ORY IN WH	IICH THE CASE BELO	NGS BASED	UPON	THE NUME	SEKED N	NATO	KE OF 3	ON CODE		_
COVER	SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.									
	1.	160, 410, 470, R.23, R	EGARDLESS	OF N	ATURE OF	SUIT.					
_	11.	195, 368, 400, 440, 44 740, 790, 791, 820*, 8	1-444, 540, 5 30*, 840*, 850	50, 55 0, 890,	5, 625, 710, 892-894, 89	720, 730 5, 950.),	*Also for pa	complete itent, trad	AO 120 or AO 12 emark or copyrig	!1 ght case
_	ш.	110, 120, 130, 140, 15 315, 320, 330, 340, 34 380, 385, 450, 891.	1, 190, 210, 2 5, 350, 355, 3	230, 24 360, 36	0, 24 5, 290, 2, 365, 370,	310, 371,	A.				in.
<u>xx</u> x	ī٧.	220, 422, 423, 430, 46 690, 810, 861-865, 87	60, 510, 530, (0, 871, 875, 9	610, 62 900.	20, 630, 640,	650, 66	iO,				
	٧.	150, 152, 153.									
TITLE HAS B	AND NUMB SEEN FILED	ER, IF ANY, OF RELA IN THIS DISTRICT PL	TED CASES. EASE INDICA	(SEE ATE TH	LOCAL RU IE TITLE AN	LE 40.1(ID NUM	(G)). BER	F MORE	THAN O	NE PRIOR RELA LED CASE IN THI	TED CAS S COUR
	T APPLI										
HAS A	PRIOR AC	TION BETWEEN THE	SAME PARTI	ES AN	D BASED C	N THE	SAME	CLAIM	EVER BE	EN FILED IN THI	S
COUR									NO	XX	
		PLAINT IN THIS CASE			NETITIE	ΝΔΙΙΤΥ	OF A	N ACT (OF CONG	RESS AFFECTIN	G THE
PUBL	THE COMP IC INTERES	PLAINT IN THIS CASE ST? (SEE 28 USC §2	QUESTION (HE C	NSTITUTE		res		NO	₩.	
15.00	IC THE II C	S.A. OR AN OFFICER,	AGENT OR E	MPLC	YEE OF TH			TY?			
11 30	, is the o.						YES		NO	***	
		EQUIRED TO BE HEAF	O AND DETS	*DMIN	En RY & Ni	STRICT	cou	RT OF TI	HREE JUI	DGES PURSUAN	τ το τιτ
	IS CASE RE C §2284?	QUIRED TO BE HEAF	(D AND DE I	=14111A	ED DI KO	5114.0					
20 00	3220					`	YES		NO	ka	
004	MACANA/EAL	PARTIES IN THIS ACT	112 (3045	JDING RNMEI	GOVERNM NTAL AGEN	ENTAL A	AGEN RES	ICIES OF	F THE UN N MASSA	ITED STATES AN CHUSETTS RESI	ID THE DE IN TH
SAM	E DIVISION	? - (SEE LOCAL RULE	E 40.1(D)).				YES	ХX	NO		
		IF YES, IN WHICH I	วเกรเบท มา	All	F THE NON	-GOVE	RNMI	ENTAL P	ARTIES F	RESIDE?	
	A.		चित्रक संस्थान		CENTRAL [WE	STERN DIVISION	
	В.	EASTERN DIVISION	IMERON DO	THE M	AJORITY O	F THE P	LAIN	TIFFS O	R THE O		
	υ.	GOVERNMENTAL.	AGENCIES,	RESID	ING IN MAS	SACHU	JSETT	S KESIL	JE(
		EASTERN DIVISIO	N XX		CENTRAL	DI VIS IO	_N I		WE	STERN DIVISION	, []
(PLEASI	E TYPE OR	PR(NT)	TACE C	CHI	TINGTRY	AN 2	251	2039	UNIT	I (CAMP)	
AT TORI	Pet NAMI	itioner's	•		ICAL CE						
			FEDERAL	, MILL	TOAL OF	MIRV	DE 4			· · - · -	

Case 1:05-cv-10005-RCL Document 1-2 Filed 01/03/2005 Page 2 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEE

.__. MAG JUDGE

The JS- 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the united States in September 1974, is required for the united States in September 1974, is required for the united States in September 1974.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		the Civil docket sheet. (SE		BEVERSE OF THE FOR	otember 1974, is required for the RM)				
JACK C. CHILIN	GIRIAN		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS DAVID L. WINN WARDEN, FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTER, DEVENS					
22512-039 UNIT	I (CAMP)		WARD						
FEDERAL MEDICAL	L CENTER DEVENS	3	1.0.	DOY 980	,				
P.O. BOX 879	AYER, MA. 0143	12	AYER	, MA. 01432					
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDEN	OF OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF	BARNSTABLE							
(EXC	EPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (DANNOTABLE	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE	E OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDAN	WORCESTER				
	0 0.1 DANNIE (JASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	ASES ONLY				
			NOTE IN LAND DON	"HEMINATION CASES THE THE	LOCATION OF THE				
(C) ATTORNEYS (E.DM NAM	ME ADDRESS, AND TELEPHON			INVOLVED	s mondon mag				
NOT APPLICAT	TE MUUNESS, AND TELEPHON RT 17	IE NUMBER)	ATTORNEYS VIE KNOWN						
	ROCEEDING PRO		MICHAEL	SULLIVAN					
- HILLIONER I	MOCEEDING AKO	SE	U.S. AT	(ORNEY					
_		•		HOUSE WAY SUITE	9200				
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DIOTION								
WEYGO OF JOHIS	DICTION (PLACE AN	A .X. IN ONE BOX ONTA)	ITIZENSHIP OF DDI	MCIDAL BATT					
			or Diversity Cases Only)	NCIPAL PARTIES	(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAIN! AND ONE BOX FOR DESERVANTAIN				
□ + U.S. Government Plaintiff	X P3 Federal Questio	· ·		PTF DEF	THE GIT DELENDANT)				
	(U.S. Governr	nen(Net a Party)			PTF DE				
☐ 2 U.S. Government	□ 4 Diversity			of Busine	ess In This State				
Defendant	(Indicate Citize	sho of Parties	Citizen of Another State (
	in Item III)		CHA		ed <i>and</i> Principal Place 🗆 5 – 🛈 s ess In Another State				
IV. NATURE OF SU		1	Citizen or Subject of a property	⊒ ₃ □ ₃ Foreign Nat	boo				
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (PLACE AN "X" IN OI	VE BOX ONLY)	r oreign country		_ 6 _ 6				
OONTRACT		ORTS		_ 					
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	~—·—·—	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER OTHER				
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury —	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 520 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES				
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	315 Airplane Product Dability	Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury —	I D 525 Drun Related Colo	1 _	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust				
a conordement of Judomant	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	[] 430 Banks and Banking FJ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.				
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	☐ 640 H H & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	T L1460 Deportation				
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	□ abrility □ 340 Manne		☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	□ 820 Copyrights	Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations				
☐ 153 Recovery of Overnay/port	□ 345 Manne Product	PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud	Safety/Health	B30 Patent	[[] 810 Selective Service				
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	Liability [] 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Other	☐ 840 Trademark	E) 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange				
LJ 190 Other Chotrod	[] 355 Motor Vehicle Product Dability	[] 380 Other Personal Property Damage	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410				
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	[] 385 Property Damage Product Dability	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395H)	☐ 891 Appoultural Aceta				
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS		Act 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations	[] 862 Black Limo (922)	L 892 Economic Stabilization Act				
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	PRISONER PETITIONS	; i	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act				
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment i	☐ 510 Motrons to Vacate Sentence	730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act				
LL 490 Joins to Lead	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	HABEAS CORPUS: To 530 General	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	[7 900 Appeal of Fue Date				
245 Torr Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Weltare	535 Death Penalty	☐ 790 Other Labor Utigation		Under Equal Access to Justic 1 950 Constitutionality of				
- Sperty	1 440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	☐ 791 Empl. Het Inc.	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	State Statutes				
V. ORIGIN		555 Prison Condition	Security Act	□ 871 IRS — Third Party	□ 890 Other Statutory Actions				
		(PLACE AN "X" IN (ONE DOY ONLY	26 USC 7609					
M 1 Original □ 2 Remo	oved from 🖂 a Remai		· ·		Appeal to Distric				
State	Court Appell	1-1- A	ted or	a trom strict □ 6 Multidistric	Judge from				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	I WITH THE LIC COM.		(specify)	Litigation	D / Magistrale				
	DO NOT OUT -	ONAL STATUTES LINUESS CONTROL	(specify) ING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMEN	T OF CAUSE					
habeas corpus reg good time credits	ardino Di	~ ~	Ture action	ie a zomene c	or a writ of				
good time credits	which are bei	Tabilin Singra are	18 USC §3624(b)	regarding calc	ulation -s				
\(\text{\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\titt{\$\text{\$\}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}		"e gented to UID	n by the Bureau o	of Prisons.	dideion of				
LEGOESTED IN									
COMPLAINT:	UNDER ER.C.P.23	A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES on	lly if demanded in complaint:				
VIII.BELATED CASSIO	23	······································	NOT APPLICABLE	JURY DEMAN	VD: □YES (XNO				
VIII.RELATED CASE(S)	(See instructions):			- SEMAN	LA NO				
IF ANY NOT APPL	[CABLE JUD	GE <u></u>	·	DOCKET NUMBER	· 				
December 29, 200		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF	95000p						
	/ 4		_						
GR OFFICE USE ONLY	= <u> </u>	gell Culing	Am PETITIONER	PALSE					
OL USE UNLY		J) - 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1	1166 Jan					
3E(3Em)	•	``)	•						
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IEP							

--- - APPLYING IFP